International Journal of Physiotherapy Research and Clinical Practice

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Impact of Rhythmic Stabilization on Enhancing Performance in Basketball Players

Roby[1], Rajaram[1], Samuel Paul Isaac[1]

[1]*Krupanidhi College of Physiotherapy, Bangalore, Karnataka, India*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 18.04.2024
Accepted 07.08.2024
Published 25.09.2024

https://doi.org/10.54839/ijprcp.v3i3.roby

ABSTRACT

Basketball is a high-intensity sport that places significant strain on athletes' lower limbs, making them vulnerable to injuries such as ankle sprains and knee-ligament injuries. Traditional passive stretching is commonly used for injury prevention, but recent research suggests that dynamic techniques, such as rhythmic stabilization, which enhances neuromuscular control, may be more effective. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of rhythmic stabilization versus passive stretching on injury prevention and pain management in basketball players. A randomized controlled trial was conducted with 45 basketball players aged 18-28. Participants were divided into three groups: control, rhythmic stabilization, and passive stretching. Pain levels and muscle tone were assessed using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and Modified Ashworth Scale over 12 weeks. Statistical analyses were performed using the paired t-test and Student's t-test. Rhythmic stabilization significantly reduced pain (VAS mean = 0.933) compared to that in the control group (VAS mean = 2.600), while passive stretching did not show a significant impact on pain reduction or muscle tone. There were no significant differences in the muscle tone changes across the groups. This study highlights the potential superiority of rhythmic stabilization over passive stretching for pain reduction and injury prevention in basketball players, offering new insights into injury-prevention strategies.

Keywords: Rhythmic Stabilization; Passive Stretching; Injury Prevention; Basketball Players

1 INTRODUCTION

Basketball is a high-intensity sport that places significant stress on athletes' musculoskeletal systems, particularly the lower limbs, making players prone to injuries such as ankle sprains, knee ligament injuries, and muscle strains.[1] Injury prevention strategies are critical for maintaining player performance and prolonging their careers. Among the various preventive measures, stretching techniques have been widely adopted to improve flexibility and reduce injury risk. While traditional static stretching has long been recommended to prepare muscles for activity, recent research suggests that dynamic and neuromuscular training techniques may offer superior benefits in injury prevention.[2] One such approach, rhythmic stabilization, involves coordinated isometric contractions aimed at enhancing the joint stability and muscle control. Rhythmic stabilization has shown promise in improving neuromuscular function and reducing pain, positioning it as a potentially more effective alternative to passive stretching, which may not significantly impact muscle tone or flexibility.[3]

Although passive stretching remains a common intervention in sports settings, its efficacy in preventing injuries in basketball players has been questioned, particularly when compared to more dynamic methods like rhythmic stabilization.[4] Basketball players face a high risk of injuries, especially to the knee and ankle, with anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries being particularly prevalent.[5,6] Effective injury prevention strategies, such as structured training programs, have been shown to significantly reduce injury rates, as evidenced by studies demonstrating lower injury occurrences in groups that implement these programs.[7]

Rhythmic stabilization, which focuses on enhancing neuromuscular control and joint stability, may be more effective in preventing injuries compared to passive stretching, which primarily increases flexibility.[8] Proprioceptive training, similar to rhythmic stabilization, has been associated with a 35% reduction in ankle injuries, highlighting the potential effectiveness of such methods in injury prevention.[8] Implementing rhythmic stabilization could lead to improved joint stability, reduced injury rates, and enhanced athletic

performance, emphasizing the importance of incorporating such methods into injury prevention programs.[9] Continuous monitoring and adjustment of training methods are essential to maximizing injury prevention and performance outcomes.[6] With this background, this study was aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of rhythmic stabilization over passive stretching in preventing injuries and managing pain in basketball players.

2 METHODS

This randomized controlled study recruited basketball players from the Krupanidhi College basketball team and other basketball players in Bangalore. A total of 45 participants aged between 18 and 28 years were selected based on the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria for the study required participants to be healthy, between the ages of 17-28 years, and to be actively engaged in basketball. Individuals under 17 or over 28 years of age, those with progressive health problems, or uncooperative players were excluded from the study. The participants were then divided into three groups, each consisting of 15 players. Convenient sampling was used to assign participants to one of three groups: Control Group, Experimental Group 1 (Rhythmic Stabilization) and Experimental Group 2 (Passive Stretching). The materials used for the study included a paper and pen for data recording, a goniometer for measuring joint angles, the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) to assess pain, and the Modified Ashworth Scale to assess muscle tone, with both measures being taken from the beginning of the study through to the 12th week. The data collected were analyzed using statistical tools such as the mean, paired t-test for intra-group comparisons, and Student's t-test for inter-group comparisons. The level of significance was calculated using a t-test, and the confidence level was set at $p<0.01$.All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software (version 11.0).

3 RESULTS

Table 1 presents a comparison of demographic data, specifically age and sex, among the three groups in the study. The mean age of the Control group was 19.4 $\pm$ 4.6 years, while the Experimental group 1 (Rhythmic Stabilization) had a mean age of 24.06 $\pm$ 4.94 years, and the Experimental group 2 (Passive Stretching) had a mean age of 20.4 $\pm$ 3.6 years. In terms of sex distribution, the Control group consisted of 12 males and 3 females, while the Experimental group 1 consisted of 11 males and 4 females, and the Experimental group 2 included 12 males and 3 females. These findings indicated a relatively similar age distribution across all groups, with a slightly older mean age observed in the Experimental group 1 than in the other two groups. Sex distribution was also balanced across the groups, with the majority of male participants in each group.

Table 1: Comparison of demographic data between the groups

Variables	Control group Mean $\pm$ S D	Experimental group-1 Mean $\pm$ SD	Experimental group-2 Mean $\pm$ SD
Age	9.4 $\pm$ 4.6	24.06 $\pm$ 4.94	20.4 $\pm$ 3.6
Male	12	11	12
Female	3	4	3

Table 2 presents a comparison of the mean and standard deviation values for the Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS) and Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) among the three groups. For the MAS, the Control group had a mean score of 1.000 $\pm$ 0.8017, the Experimental Group 1 (Rhythmic Stabilization) had a mean of 1.367 $\pm$ 0.6399, and the Experimental Group 2 (Passive Stretching) had a mean of 1.100 $\pm$ 0.7606.The results showed no significant differences between the groups for MAS, as the p-values for all pairwise comparisons (Control group vs. Experimental Group 1, Control group vs. Experimental Group 2, and Experimental Group 1 vs. Experimental Group 2) were greater than 0.05, indicating that changes in muscle tone were not statistically significant.

Table 2: Comparison of mean and standard deviation values for MAS and VAS among the groups

Variables	Groups	Mean $\pm$ SD	Comparison	Significance
MAS	Control Group(n=15)	1.000 $\pm$ 0.8017	Control Group vs Exp. Group 1	0.370
	Exp. Group 1(n=15)	1.367 $\pm$ 0.6399	Control Group vs Exp. Group 2	0.927
	Exp. Group 2(n=15)	1.100 $\pm$ 0.7606	Exp Group-1 vs Exp. Group 2	0.587
VAS	Control Group(n=15)	2.600 $\pm$ 1.4040	Control Group vs Exp. Group 1	0.010*
	Exp. Group 1(n=15)	0.933 $\pm$ 1.7915	Control Group vs Exp. Group 2	0.587
	Exp. Group 2(n=15)	2.007 $\pm$ 1.1629	Exp Group-1 vs Exp. Group 2	0.101

*Significant

For the VAS, the Control group had a mean of 2.600 $\pm$ 1.4040, Experimental Group 1 had a mean of 0.933 $\pm$ 1.7915, and Experimental Group 2 had a mean of 2.007 $\pm$ 1.1629.A significant difference was observed between the Control group and Experimental Group 1 ($p = 0.010$), indicating that Rhythmic Stabilization led to a significantly greater reduction in pain than in the Control group. However, no significant differences were found between the Control

group and Experimental Group 2 (p = 0.587), or between Experimental Group 1 and Experimental Group 2 (p = 0.101), suggesting that Passive Stretching did not produce a significant difference in pain reduction compared to Rhythmic Stabilization.

4 DISCUSSION

The current study explored the effectiveness of two interventions–rhythmic stabilization and passive stretching–in reducing injury risk among basketball players. The demographic data showed a balanced sex distribution across the groups, with the Rhythmic Stabilization group being slightly older on average. Biomechanically, basketball involves high-intensity movements that place significant stress on the lower limbs, particularly the knee, ankle, and hip joints, increasing the risk of injury.[1] The study's aim on rhythmic stabilization as an intervention aligns with biomechanical modeling, which suggests that understanding joint forces and muscle activation patterns is crucial for developing effective injury prevention strategies.[9] In terms of injury prevention strategies, neuromuscular training and supportive footwear are frequently recommended to reduce common injuries like ankle sprains and muscle strains.[4] The study's focus on rhythmic stabilization echoes this approach, as properly dosed exercises can enhance muscle coordination and efficiency, thereby reducing the risk of both initial and future injuries.[3] The injury prevention is particularly crucial for youth athletes to ensure their long-term participation in sports and to prevent chronic diseases later in life.[10]

In the current study, rhythmic stabilization demonstrated a significant reduction in pain, with the mean Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) score for the experimental group being 0.933, compared to the control group's mean VAS score of 2.600. This result aligns with previous research, which suggests that rhythmic stabilization is effective in pain management.[9] In contrast, passive stretching showed no significant difference in pain reduction when compared with rhythmic stabilization (p = 0.101) and did not produce significant changes in muscle tone, as indicated by the Modified Ashworth Scale. This finding is consistent with studies that suggest passive stretching may not be as effective in influencing muscle tone or pain relief in certain contexts.[2] Increased muscle strength was noted in the experimental group, which is consistent with literature emphasizing the importance of strength training for injury prevention.[11] The findings highlight that muscle power enhancement can improve an athlete's ability to withstand the stresses associated with high-intensity sports, such as basketball. The implications of basketball training are clear. The study reinforces the importance of targeted training programs that focus on muscle strength and stability, which are crucial for preventing injuries in high-intensity sports.[12]

While rhythmic stabilization showed promise in pain management, the lack of significant changes in muscle tone highlights the need for further research. This study explored the optimal combination of rhythmic stabilization and other complementary techniques to create a more comprehensive injury-prevention strategy for basketball players. This study provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of rhythmic stabilization and passive stretching, and it is crucial to consider other factors that influence injury risk, such as fatigue, prior injury history, and specific movement demands. These elements should be integrated into comprehensive injury prevention programs to enhance their effectiveness across various age groups and skill levels.

5 CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated that rhythmic stabilization effectively reduced pain in basketball players, highlighting its potential as an injury prevention strategy. While passive stretching did not show significant benefits in pain reduction or muscle tone improvement, rhythmic stabilization's positive impact on pain management and muscle strength suggests that it could be a valuable addition to injury prevention programs. Further research is necessary to explore the optimal combination of interventions and to consider other factors, such as fatigue and previous injuries, to develop more comprehensive and effective injury prevention strategies in basketball.

REFERENCES

1. Li W. Numerical simulation of lower limb forces during basketball pivot movements investigating injury prevention strategies. *Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics*. 2024;21(3):1–25. Available from: https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb576.
2. Garg U, Kashyap R, Bhardwaj M. A Comparison of the Effectiveness of Dynamic Stretching Versus Static Stretching in Improving Flexibility and Preventing Injuries in Young Basketball Players. *International Journal for Multidimensional Research Perspectives*. 2024;2(4):97–101. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.61877/ijmrp.v2i4.137.
3. Short S. Practical Guidelines for Injury Prevention in Basketball: How to Get it Right. *Basketball Sports Medicine and Science*. 2020;2020:673–685. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-61070-1_53.
4. Akoh CC, Chen J, Easley ME, Amendola A. Foot and Ankle Injuries in Basketball. *Basketball Sports Medicine and Science*. 2020;p. 445–457. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-61070-1_36.
5. Antoranz Y, de Villarreal ES, del Campo Vecino J, Jiménez-Saiz SL. Sure Steps: Key Strategies for Protecting Basketball Players from Injuries—A Systematic Review. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2024;13(16):4912. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm13164912.
6. Causes and Preventive Training for ACL Injuries in Basketball Players. *Frontiers in Sport Research*. 2024;6(4):121–126. Available from: https://doi.org/10.25236/fsr.2024.060418.
7. Longo UG, Loppini M, Berton A, Marinozzi A, Maffulli N, Denaro V. The FIFA 11+ Program Is Effective in Preventing Injuries in Elite Male Basketball Players. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2012;40(5):996–1005. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546512438761.
8. Eils E, Schröter R, Schröder M, Gerss J, Rosenbaum D. Multistation Proprioceptive Exercise Program Prevents Ankle Injuries in Basketball. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2010;42(11):2098–2105. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e3181e03667.

9. Wang H. Prevention and Rehabilitation Training Strategies for Knee Injuries in Basketball. *Journal of Modern Medicine and Nursing*. 2024;1(2):284. Available from: https://doi.org/10.70767/jmmn.v1i2.284.
10. Emery CA. Injury prevention research to reduce youth-sport related injuries. *Open Access Government*. 2023;p. 1–5. Available from: https://doi.org/10.56367/oag-039-10903.
11. Penelitian J, Wahyuni R, Arsil G, Komaini A, Rahman D, Zarya F. Injury prevention strategies: Improving strength and flexibility for the ankle: A literature review. *JPPIPA (JurnalPenelitian Pendidikan IPA)*. 2023;9(Special Issue):256–266. Available from: https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9ispecialissue.8719.
12. Molinas IM, Font AS, Carrasco PC, Aleu AC, Escarp GN, Vazquez RS, et al. Ankle Injuries Associated with Basketball Practice: Current Situation and Literature Review. *Update in Management of Foot and Ankle Disorders*. 2018. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5772/INTECHOPEN.76618.